X. 129 of 92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

La. 121 of 1890.

1894 GS

~~192~~

(L. 61)

File No. 158 of 1894

Serial Nos. 1-19

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 19

Year ~~Part~~ B for December 1894.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Pokkadamaleje Annieh against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Negombo regarding the Mahaan lands of Seruwapatanawalauk.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Negombo

The Comptroller & Govt

No 4398-9/2644. Dated 22.12.98

Sanctions a refund of Bill on behalf of Pokkadamaleje Annieh of Seruwapatanawa for Rs 1500/-

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Encl

97. 129 of 92

Translation of the Memorial of Mattu Pillai Muniah of Periyapalayam to H.E. the Governor Agent -

1. The lands attached to the medam of Abdulla Rra in the village of Kirangur Periyapalayam PK have been mortgaged by the holder thereof to the petr. through a registered instrument in 1875 for Rs 1500 to the Petr., who was also let into the possession.

2. Bommé Sowda & others villagers of Kirangur set up in 1889 the above said Packa Rra to contest the lands on the score of their being attached to the Kovil.

3. The French Rocks Sub: Div: Officer ordered on 30.8.91 that the above lands belonged to the Kovil, that there was no right to mortgage the lands to the Petr. & that he, Petr. should give up their possession.

4. That on the petr's appeal to the Diwan on the above order, the Diwan ordered, after a perusal of the records, on 15.2.92. that the mortgage to the petr. ~~had~~ was valid, that the diwan Packa Rra may if he likes redeem the property & recover its possession after payment to the petr. the mortgage amount within 6 months, that on default the land would be appraised & the assessment be recovered from the petr. for the use of the Kovil & that the petr. shd. not be deprived of the possession of the lands till he was paid his mortgage amount.

5. As soon as the Sub: Div: Officer passed the order depriving the petr. of his possession of the lands the pet. Packa Rra executed in the petr's favor that the produce shd. not be given to the petr. & the Govt. also not-

3 loss, the petr's recd endorsement No 1369 Dy 3.8.95 stating that the Dy Commr's order was correct. This decision was arrived at by the Govt without giving a notice to the petrs & without referring to the documents wh the petrs intended to ~~xxx~~ show.

4 That on the petrs next applying to the Govt for a review of the above order after ~~notice to~~ the petrs notice when the record of the case was ready before the Commissioners, the petrs received endorsement Dy 3.2.96 that a review could not be granted.

10 What I now submit is as follows:-

(a) As the Dewan has passed an order upholding the mortgage to me, I ~~am~~ was entitled to the possession of the land & to the receipt of its produce till the Respondent tendered the mortgage amount i.e. till 15th June 1893 (being the date of tender).

(b) While so, the Sub: Div: Officer had no business to oust the petrs out of the land till he was paid not only the mortgage amount but also 3½ years produce or its equivalent in money by the respondent or the by the Govt

(c) The petrs had possession of the land from (18)95 & was enjoying it ever since by letting it out for 45 Kalams of paddy per year & at this rate the petrs shd get 157½ Kalams of paddy for 3½ years. This amounts to Rs 1653-12-0 at 10½ Rs a K &

The Petr in justice ought to have been paid this amount before he was ousted from his possession.

The Dy Commr of the Dt on ordered that only 50 Kandies of paddy @ Rs 130-10-9 in cash are due to the Petr. Even these the Petr has not received.

(d) The Dy Commr has stated in his order that no evidence has been given that 45 Kandies of paddy are due to the Petr per year.

But the following documents will show the records of the case would have proved so to him (Dy Commr) if he had referred -

(i) The Rakhwan of 30.9.88 executed to Pachamaal by the Villagers of Kirangur Baboorayana Koppal + Chik Kirangur clearly shows that (the land) could be leased for 56 Kandies of paddy per year.

(ii) While the division of the produce of the year Vikruti was made by the Govt it was stated in the partition deed (Exh) that 40 Kandies of the produce are due to the Petr. This quantity was deposited with the Palel headsowda from whom a Kumbalika was taken to the above effect.

(iii) Dodda Rangappa of Kirangur had applied to the Sub: Div + the HC authorities for a lease of the land for a yearly rental of 40 Kandies.

Dy Commr

only then took the produce of the year Kikuli, but also completely deprived the respt of the inspection of the lands from the year Khara & thereforward the Amildar on the orders of the Sub: Div: Offr got the lands cultivated & thus prevented the petrs from reaping the produce. 6. In pursuance of the Devan, Pachaiyan (mortgagee) deposited Rs 1501 on 15.6.93. By this time the petrs ought to have got the whole produce of the years Kikuli, Khara & Nandana & the half of the produce of the year Vijaya - the petrs would have enjoyed the produce of these years without any difficulty if he had not been deprived of his inspection by the Sirkar before the decision of the Devan.

7. That on the complaint of the petrs regarding the above, the Dy Commr, Negore, the Dy Comr without going into the records of the case passed an order summarily on 26.4.94 that the petrs shd get Rs 130-10-9 for the year Kikuli & 25 Kandies of paddy for the year Khara. That the petrs shd get the produce of the year Khara from the Sirkar. That the petrs shd get the produce of the year Nandana from the tenant of the land Somasundaram. And that there was no reason why the petrs shd get the produce of 6 months of the year Vijaya -

8. That on the petrs appealing to the Govt on the ground that the produce adjudicated to the petrs was too small & he thereby suffered much

5) has not gone through the records of the case; for if he had done so he would have adjudged 140 Kandies per year at least to the petr.

(c) The petr prays that Her Highness after going through the records of the case will order that the petr should receive, at least at the rate of 40 Kandies per year, 140 Kandies for 3½ years or its value at the average rate of 10½ Rs per Kandy & that the petr should be restored to the enjoyment of the land till the petr is given the above quantity of paddy & that if the Mahavidan Abdulla Khan should be unwilling to give the produce to the petr as directed above, the order of the Diwan No. 14 of 15.12.92 should be brought into force by appraising the land after making it over to the petr, deducting the land assessment for the use of the Mahavidan.

If the date of the hearing is not known to the petr, he will present himself before the Court daily to represent any matters & to show certain documents that may be necessary.

30.4.96.

P. J. 129 of 32

P.J. 129-72 Feb. 1869

[Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1894

(8)

No. Camp Regn. Dion 227

Name of Petitioner. Bhafadamaligua ~~Ragunachar~~ Annayah, Appellant

Village. Srirangapatna

Taluk. Do.

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 26-10-94

Date of Receipt. 27-10-94

Date of Disposal. 26. 1. 95

No. of Enclosures. two

Two Rupees Stamp affixed

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, dated 26th April 1894 regarding the Inam lands in Srirangapatna.

Submitted to Council

Stamp sufficient.

63 days out of time.

Petr., in explanation of regarding delay, urges that he applied in the Dt. office for a copy on 5 June, that after an interval of several days he was informed that the records had been sent to the French Rocks Sub Dn. office, where he applied for and obtained the copy. Petr. has filed what he calls a memo in support of this allegation. This memo was addressed by the advocate to the Rev. Sheristadar of the Mysore Dt. and there is a note in pencil on the top of it that the records were sent to the Sub Division on 8-5-94. This memo, assuming that it can be recognized, is dated 30-7-94, while the stamp for the copy was purchased on 20-8-94 and the application for copy presented on 21-8-94.

Petr. contends that limitation should be computed from 30 July 94.

Order appealed against is dated 26 April
Petr. alleges he applied to the Dt. for copy on 5 June
Petr. was informed that the records had been sent to the Sub Dn. on 30 July
Petr. applied 25 August

Beyond the pencil note, Petr. has not produced any endorsement from the Dt. in reply to his application for copy. The delay between 26 April & 5 June and between 30 July and 20 Aug. (60 days) [illegible] Petr. -- [illegible]

[illegible]

21/11

appellant 7A

is entitled to the produce claimed

5 That the lower court has not properly appriciated the documentry evidence, and its decision is against the probabilities.

6 That the Deputy commissioner ought not to have given possession of the Land to the petitioner before the end of the present cultivating season.

For these reasons that the appellant prays that the order appealed against may be modified.

[N.B] The order was passed on 26/4/94 The appellant applied for the copy in the Deputy Commissioners office on 5th June 94. After a long time the appellant was informed, as per memo annexed herewith, that the record has been sent to the Sub Divisional office of French Rocks, and there he obtained the copy on 22/9/94. From that date the time begins to run.

Dated this 26th day of October 1894.

M Raghavendra
Advocate for appellant

Stamp on applications & petitions Rs 2.

Vakil's Rs 4—



9

Transfer
2-2-97
9-12-96 Returned to office
Two Rupees Court fee affixed
Endorsed
5 DJS 4-8-95

In the court of the Dewan of H.H.
The Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Mis Appeal No. of 1894-5

Appellant and (petitioner) Bhadadanagiri—
Amingar, residing in
Srirangapatam



against

Respondent and (petitioner) Abdulcha minor
by guardian on the
Musalla, residing in
Kesangere, Srirangapatam Taluk. (Mandya dist)

The appellant above named most humbly begs to appeal to this Honourable Court against the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore dated 22nd day of April 1896, for the following reasons namely:—

That the Deputy Commissioner is wrong in disallowing said gross receipts for 1893.

That the Deputy Commissioner is wrong in allowing only 50 candies of Bhatta and 1/4 maund of seeds with Rs 150-0-0 for 3 years Kharchi, Kiraya and Mandaya.

That the appellant is clearly entitled at the rate of 4 candies of paddy and 50 lbs of seed and also to Rs 4/888 for three years Kharchi, Kiraya and Mandaya.

That it is very clear from the Maharaja's Order passed in the case and in the Judgement of the Srirangapatam Munsiff's Court that the appellant



IN THE COURT OF THE Divisional Magistrate Sub-Division

Ramanathapuram

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. of 1924

Petitioner and Petitioner's Counsel

} Against {

Respondent and Respondent's Counsel

I the Petitioner above-named do hereby retain and appoint Mr. Thiagarajan Advocate as my true and lawful attorney to appear for me in this matter and conduct the same on my behalf and to do all such other acts for and on my behalf in and about the premises as may be necessary and proper and I bind myself to abide by and confirm the same.

I hereby further authorised to apply for and receive payment of all or any sums of money that may be due to me and also receive from Court all documents I hereby agree to acknowledge the same to be done by me personally.

Dated 21st day of October 24

Personally known to me and executed before me on the date above named Ramanathapuram

Petitioner's Signature

ACCEPTED.

Ramanathapuram

Counsel for Petitioner

No. 1369

9 Lab. 158-94-2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Bhattada madaje Ananda of Seringapatam

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1369 dated 26th Oct. 1894 appealing agt. the order of the Dy. Commr., Mysore, directing that Ananda should be given the mesne profits of the Makhtha Lands for Vibhuti, Hiriya and Nandana.

Petitioner is informed that the order appealed against is dated 26th April 1894 while he alleges he applied for a copy thereof in the District Office only on 5th June i.e after a delay of 40 days: And again though he was informed on 30th July that the records had been sent to the French Rocks Lab-Division it was not until 20th August that he actually applied for a copy thereby causing another delay of 20 days. No Explanation has been furnished by Petitioner for these delays caused by him.

"By Order"

Chief Secretary

Draft order on 9. C. 1611

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

75

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1319 [illegible] 1/12/94 [illegible]

[illegible] 30.7.94 [illegible]

[illegible]

20/8/94 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

10

ದಿನಾಂಕ
1367.

ಸಂ. 158-94-3

11

Rec'd 20.12.94

17-12-94

W
1738
17/12



(12)

Pet
F. 129-92

13

L.R. 158-94-5

18-2-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1369 dated 26 Oct 1892 received at the Dewan's Office from Bhaktavatsalayya, Shanbhog of Seenappatam, appealing against the order of the Dy. Commr. Mysore, ~~~~ declining to ...

Grant Pet. half the mesne profits of the Makhan lands for the year Vijaya etc.

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr. Mysore District, for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned ...

By Order,

Draft

BANGALORE,
9/20 - 2 - 1895 }

For Chief Secretary. 12/2

12/2 12/2

Gen. No/12 1360/94

Pet. No 1273/92

File in favour

Cm
21/12
Intimate the appellant

Ulf 21/12
translated.
Mmp

Submitted for Council
for instructions
Whether to take
action on delay file
Appeal

Ulf 21/12

Ajmath Pathan
& call for Papers &
a report
ulf
91295

Drafted
Ulf

Typed
Ulf

[Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189/4

No. 1738

Name of Petitioner. Vijayaraghavachariar Sadagopachariar & Sriranganathan

Village

Taluk. Kanjeevaram

District.

Date of Appl. 15-9-94

Date of Receipt. 17-12-94

Date of Disposal.

Nos. of Enclosures. nil

Two Rupees Stamp affixed

ABSTRACT.

With reference to this office endorsement No: 1360 dated 1-12-94 the petitioner (adoptee) encloses the appellant's affidavit showing reasons for the delay in preferring his appeal in this office.

Translation of Affidavit.

As the Deputy Commissioner referred to in the endorsement No: 1360, appointed me (PL) on 21-9-94 and I received the (Petn) on 22-9-94 and delivered it to the Camp Clerk on the same day, he (Petr) received it on

No. 1126

Enclosures No.

Petn with 2 encls and vern: papers

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 15th April 1895.

From A. Rungasamy Iyengar Esqr B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

SIR.

With reference to your O.M. No. P.A. F 129-92 dated 9th February 1895, calling for a report on the appeal petition of Bhattada Malige Anniah of Seringapatam, I have the honor to state, that the Inam lands attached to Kirungur Makkan in Seringapatam Taluk, was mortgaged to Anniah, for Rs. 1500, by Pachamiah in 1875. During the Inam settlement in 1879, Appellant Anniah, was called upon to give up the land, as it was treated as Devadayam, and the Inam Commissioner ordered that the Makkandar, should be put in possession of the land. Anniaya, refused to make over the land, and on reference to Government, on the subject, it was ordered in Government Decision dated 15th December, for not-that the Inam be resumed, and fully assessed that year and a money grant equal to the assessment year Commences

June + asked him to take a copy.

to Makkandar for services at the Makkan, and that if the Makkandar was able to redeem the mortgage he may do so within 6 months

2. On the 15th June 1893, Muradbee the mother, and guardian of the present Makkandar Abdulla Shah, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner, that the land may be assessed, as directed in Government decision and the Khalé made out in the name of her minor son, and produced Rs 1500 to be paid to Annaiah, the mortgagee which is held in deposit.

3. A notice was served on Annaiah, to appear before the Deputy Commissioner, on the 27th June 1893 and receive payment of the mortgage money, and make over the Inam lands to Makkandar. Annaiah did not take the money, but demanded the principal with 3½ years' produce at 45 Kandies of paddy and 60 bundles of straw a year, at which rate he said he had leased the land to a ryot but produced no evidence in support of this claim.

4. Though the Government did not pass any decision as to appellant's right to receive mesne profits, I held that he was entitled to the same as Government had confirmed his possession accordingly called upon the Amildar, to the produce realized during the

on 3rd June & asked him to take a copy
thereof. The officials of the Deputy
Commissioner's office, after delaying
for two months, informed the petitioner
on 30-7-94 that the records were sent
to the Sub-division office at Tezpur-Rly.
The petitioner thought that he could
get the copy ~~at that~~ from the Sub-division
office, as he had already applied for it.
At the end, he was not able to get the office
personally for quite a long time. After a long time,
when he went to Sub-division office,
he was informed that his copy application
was not sent to that office from the District
office. After much correspondence, he
again applied for the copy in the Sub-division
office. He has appealed after the receipt
of the copy. For the above reasons he
was unable to prefer the appeal in time.
The petitioner solemnly affirms that
the statements above are true to the
best of his knowledge.

(General Serial No. 30.) 13921

189

Pet 568

From

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dists

To Ld. 158-96-7

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 15th April 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Petn note two vols and vernr papers

General No. 1126
No.

Submits report called for in Secy's No. Pet T. 134-95 regarding petition of Basatada Mallige Ammah of Seringapatam

Petn
18.4.95.

Rec'd 19/4

The Dy Commr's ~~memorialist~~ petition the petitioner's appeal petn (in triplicate) and a few connd Council Cases in duplicate herewith Contain the facts of the case

Submitted for orders.

VNT. 24/6.

Mr. Dy Comrs decision is equitable & correct - He has given good reasons for not granting the petitioner's prayer. The petitioner can produce any fresh evidence in support of his Appeal - This appeal may be rejected.

3/7/95

Recd the Govt records

4-7-95

Brought to notice of H.E.C.

Rejected

U

(12)

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

—

Ld. 1589-94-8

Read:—

Petition of appeal No. 97 of 1894-95 presented by Bhalāda Thalēje Annaiya of Sreerangapatam appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore dated 26th April 1894 regarding the mesne profits of the Kiranur Makan Devamlands mortgaged to the Petitioner, ~~possession as mortgagee~~, which the Government decision dated 15th Decr 1892, the present Makandar Abdullasha was authorized to redeem.

(2) Letter No. 1126 dated 15th April 1895 from the Deputy

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
District reporting on the above;
and the records received therewith.

order Re. 476 / 87.129-92 & 11.7.95

The Deputy Commissioners
decision is equitable and correct
He has given good reasons for
allowing the Appellant three
years procedure as found by
the Amildar. This appeal is
rejected

11-7-94

Rev. Secretary.

C.

12/7

To the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District with the
Vernacular Proceedings.

Send to issue in the above terms

the three years, viz. 1891, 1892 and 1893 during

which the appellant was out of possession which was

as follows ——

1890-91 Viz. Rs 130-10-9 and ½ — 19-8 in of paddy with the palel

1891-92 Viz. 25 bundles of paddy with the Makhandar

1892-93 Viz. 25 bundles of paddy in kepari with the cultivator

5. The appellant claimed produce for the half year Vijaya as the money was produced on 15th June 1893. The produce for the year is not divisible as claimed by the appellant. The cultivation season begins only in June, and the rent is payable at the end of the year.

6. Under the above circumstances I thought it fair to both parties, to direct that the appellant should be declared entitled to the 3 years produce as found by the Amildar, and that the Makhandar should be at once placed in possession of the lands.

7. The appellant's statement made in para 1 of the appeal petition, to the effect that I disallowed half year's profits for Jaya is a mistake. He probably means Vijaya, and the reason for not allowing him produce for half of that year is given in the judgement. The Hindu year commences

commenced in the middle of March and the fee was paid into Government Treasury on 5th June.

So that even if the Respondent entitled to any refund for a portion of the year, the period would only be about 2½ months.

8. The land was taken away from the Appellant under the order of the Assistant Commissioner and the reduced rate realized from the cultivation has been paid to the Appellant. As the petitioner is not responsible for this deprivation as he was not placed in possession of the lands, and as the Appellant has failed to prove that, but for the petitioners negligence a larger rent would have been realized, the proceedings appealed against are to be corrected.

9. The judgement of the Moonsiff and Sadhar are not sustainable in evidence, in this case and can be reversed by Appellate.

10. The petition and enclosures received with your Memo are herewith respectfully submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Malabar District

Compd
Ely

23

(14)

నంబర్ 1369 dt. 15-8-94 కి

Ack
14/9

476
P.N. 129-92 7-7-95

14-9.

Ack
16/9
Docu
17/9
Affe is present

17/9.

Affe is present when case
passed over to Revenue Counsel
on 3/11/95.
In time
Stamp affixed
Petitioner's Counsel has not
heard in Council & asks that
the appln may be heard in Council
next practicable day.
20-9-95

Where no reason
has been shown
why petition
should not be
dismissed with
costs
29.1.96

HGL

Dismissed
with
costs.

1895

(15)

No. 1153 / A. 15836-16

Name of Petitioner, Chatter Lodge

Village, Chinna of

Taluk, Kanjeepuram

District, Chingleput

Date of Arzi, 12-9-95

Date of Receipt, 13-9-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that on 20-11-94 he preferred an appeal to Govt. against the order of the Dy. Collr. in preference that it would be given only to persons residing, Chatram & Cultivation appertaining to that village & that as he was not residing in the village & was not cultivating any land he was not entitled to the preference - Govt. No. 1209 of 19-2-95 - informed that their case would be decided on receipt of the proceedings of the Dy. Collr. - that while so informing him or his advocate the assistant may enquire into the facts & inform him that the order was correct & that he was rejected - and that he

Mr. Wyeth's visit at the house
of his parents. Regret Mr. Wyeth
will be prepared to visit parents and
have an Epistle from Prophet Captain
on Enquiry.

13/9

95


COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

(13)


MYSORE DEWAN'S DEPARTMENT
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO.
DATE

1153

426 Ph 17/95
P. Ph 129-92

1896 (17)

No. 3500 Dn Battavamalige

Name of Petitioner, Annaiya residing in

Village, Seringapatam

Taluk, dl. 158-94-12

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 16.3.96

Date of Receipt, 10.4.96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil.

Memorial presented to Her Highness Maharani Regent.

Two Rupees Stamp affixed

~~An order application was rejected~~ ~~on ground that these~~

Application to review an order passed by the Revd: Comr. on 3/7/95. This is the 2nd review applcn. first was rejected on the ground that there was no reason to re-open the decision ~~was~~ passed.

Petr again asks for a hearing & complains that the original order as well as the order on first review was passed without hearing him - Q. to 2nd Clk.

6/5/96.

Memorial ABSTRACT.

~~Appeal~~ to H. H. the Maharani Regent against the order of the Govt in Endt No 4 dated 3.2.96 rejecting his review petn on the order of the Govt confirming the decision of the Dy Commr intimated to him by Endt No 1369 of 3.8.95 in the matter of certain lands ~~belonging~~ mortgaged to him as belonging to a Kuruba.

C.J.K.

15.6.96

Petn to dispose of the matter in the manner proposed in the note of the Dy Commr, dated 15/5/96 and found by him to have been passed by the Govt.

Order sign 13/6.

Mayimuddin
Advocate

with private Secretary
No 182 dated 31-3-96

30

3500

ਮੈਂ ਅਪਣੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਥੀਆਂ ਦਾ ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਸਫਲ ਬਣਾਇਆ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਅਧਿਆਪਕਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੀ ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜਿਆ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਮਹਿਮਾਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੀ ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹੋ ਕੇ ਸਾਡਾ ਮਾਣ ਵਧਾਇਆ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਜਿੱਤੇ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਪਰ ਇਨਾਮ ਨਹੀਂ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕੇ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਪਰ ਇਨਾਮ ਨਹੀਂ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕੇ। ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਵਧਾਈ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਪਰ ਇਨਾਮ ਨਹੀਂ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕੇ।

(ਖ) ਇਕ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਵਿਚ ਲਿਖੋ ਕਿ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਏ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਜਿੱਤੇ ਸਨ। ਤੁਸੀਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਮਾਤਾ-ਪਿਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਦੱਸਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਲਿਖੋ ਕਿ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਜਿੱਤੇ ਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਕਿਵੇਂ ਮਿਲੇ ਸਨ।

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ,

ਮਾਤਾ ਜੀ,

ਮੈਂ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਦੱਸਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਬਹੁਤ ਖੁਸ਼ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਏ ਸਮਾਰੋਹ ਵਿਚ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਿਆ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਜਿੱਤੇ ਸਨ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਆਸ਼ੀਰਵਾਦ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕਿਆ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਸਮਰਪਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਆਸ਼ੀਰਵਾਦ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕਿਆ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਸਮਰਪਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਆਸ਼ੀਰਵਾਦ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕਿਆ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਸਮਰਪਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਆਸ਼ੀਰਵਾਦ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਜਿੱਤ ਸਕਿਆ ਹਾਂ। ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਇਨਾਮ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਸਮਰਪਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ।

(٤) على صاحب العمل أن يقدم للعامل عند انتهاء خدمته شهادة تتضمن اسمه ومدة عمله ونوع العمل الذي كان يؤديه، ويجوز أن تتضمن الشهادة تقديرًا لسلوك العامل وكفاءته في العمل إذا طلب العامل ذلك.

(٥) يجب على صاحب العمل أن يسلم العامل عند انتهاء خدمته جميع المستندات والأوراق التي قدمها له عند التحاقه بالعمل، وله أن يحتفظ بصورة منها على نفقته.

(٦) يجب على صاحب العمل أن يسلم العامل عند انتهاء خدمته كشفًا بالمبالغ المستحقة له، ويؤدي له هذه المبالغ خلال سبعة أيام من تاريخ انتهاء الخدمة.

(٧) كل اتفاق يقضي بحرمان العامل من حقوقه المقررة في هذا القانون أو بالتنازل عنها يقع باطلًا.

(٨) لا يجوز لصاحب العمل أن يحتجز أجر العامل أو أي مبلغ مستحق له بسبب أي دين أو تعويض إلا في الحدود التي يجيزها القانون.

(٩) يجب على صاحب العمل أن يعلق في مكان ظاهر في مقر العمل نسخة من هذا القانون ومن اللائحة التنفيذية له، وأن يعلق أي تعديل يطرأ عليهما خلال ثلاثة أيام من تاريخ نفاذ التعديل.

(١٠) يجوز للعامل أن يتقدم بشكوى إلى مكتب العمل المختص خلال ثلاثة أشهر من تاريخ وقوع المخالفة أو من تاريخ علمه بها، ويحال الشكوى إلى لجنة تسوية المنازعات العمالية.

(١١) تختص لجنة تسوية المنازعات العمالية بالفصل في المنازعات الناشئة عن تطبيق أحكام هذا القانون، ويجوز لها أن تأمر بإلزام صاحب العمل بأداء ما يستحق للعامل من أجور أو تعويضات.

(١٢) يجوز الطعن في قرارات لجنة تسوية المنازعات العمالية أمام المحكمة العمالية المختصة خلال خمسة عشر يومًا من تاريخ إخطار الطرفين بالقرار، ويكون الطعن بصحيفة تودع قلم كتاب المحكمة.

(١٣) لا يجوز لصاحب العمل أن يفصل العامل أثناء نظر الشكوى أو الطعن إلا بإذن من لجنة تسوية المنازعات العمالية، ويقع الفصل المخالف لهذا الحكم باطلًا.

(١٤) يجب على صاحب العمل أن يمكن مفتش العمل من دخول مقر العمل في أي وقت أثناء ساعات العمل، وأن يقدم له ما يطلبه من دفاتر ومستندات تتعلق بتطبيق أحكام هذا القانون.

(١٥) يعاقب كل من يخالف أحكام هذا القانون بغرامة لا تقل عن مائة جنيه ولا تزيد على خمسمائة جنيه، وتضاعف الغرامة في حالة العود خلال سنة من تاريخ الحكم السابق.

(١٦) يجوز للمحكمة أن تقضي بالحبس مدة لا تزيد على شهر واحد في حالة تكرار المخالفة للمرة الثالثة خلال سنة واحدة، وذلك بالإضافة إلى الغرامة المقررة.

(١٧) تسقط الدعوى الناشئة عن مخالفة أحكام هذا القانون بمضي سنة من تاريخ وقوع المخالفة، ولا يسقط الحق في المطالبة بالتعويض عن الضرر الناشئ عنها.

(١٨) ينشر هذا القانون في الجريدة الرسمية، ويعمل به من تاريخ نشره.

Nº Kk
F. 129-92

2039

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Kk. 158-94-13

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ Memorial No. 3500 addressed to H.H. the maharani Regent by ~~received at the Dewan's Office from~~ Battada malige Annaiah of Seringapatam, praying for a reconsideration of G.O. no: 476 dated 11th July 1895 regarding P. F. 129 his claim to the mesne profits of certain Makkan lands at Kuranjee which had been mortgaged to him is forwarded, together with its ~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District with a request that he will be good enough to report if the ~~Mxxxx~~ value of the mesne profits ~~has~~ as found by him has been paid to the petitioner and if it has not been paid, what action if any is necessary should be taken in the matter and the result reported.

2. The petition should be returned

By Order,

Cy Draft

BANGALORE, 28/07 1896

~~Asst~~ ~~Officer~~ Secretary.

13/5

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

(ب) ایک مکان کی چھت کی لمبائی 40 فٹ اور چوڑائی 30 فٹ ہے۔ چھت کے ہر طرف 2 فٹ چوڑا پرنالہ لگانا ہے۔ پرنالے کی گہرائی 1 فٹ ہے۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی موٹائی 6 انچ ہے۔ پرنالے کی اندرونی لمبائی اور چوڑائی معلوم کریں۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی بنیاد کی لمبائی اور چوڑائی بھی معلوم کریں۔

(ج) ایک مکان کی چھت کی لمبائی 40 فٹ اور چوڑائی 30 فٹ ہے۔ چھت کے ہر طرف 2 فٹ چوڑا پرنالہ لگانا ہے۔ پرنالے کی گہرائی 1 فٹ ہے۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی موٹائی 6 انچ ہے۔ پرنالے کی اندرونی لمبائی اور چوڑائی معلوم کریں۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی بنیاد کی لمبائی اور چوڑائی بھی معلوم کریں۔

(د) ایک مکان کی چھت کی لمبائی 40 فٹ اور چوڑائی 30 فٹ ہے۔ چھت کے ہر طرف 2 فٹ چوڑا پرنالہ لگانا ہے۔ پرنالے کی گہرائی 1 فٹ ہے۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی موٹائی 6 انچ ہے۔ پرنالے کی اندرونی لمبائی اور چوڑائی معلوم کریں۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی بنیاد کی لمبائی اور چوڑائی بھی معلوم کریں۔

(ہ) ایک مکان کی چھت کی لمبائی 40 فٹ اور چوڑائی 30 فٹ ہے۔ چھت کے ہر طرف 2 فٹ چوڑا پرنالہ لگانا ہے۔ پرنالے کی گہرائی 1 فٹ ہے۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی موٹائی 6 انچ ہے۔ پرنالے کی اندرونی لمبائی اور چوڑائی معلوم کریں۔ پرنالے کی دیوار کی بنیاد کی لمبائی اور چوڑائی بھی معلوم کریں۔

(3) [illegible]

29-5-96

10

To

The Secretary

Sir,

I am submitting herewith my application for the post of Lecturer in Physics. I have completed my M.Sc. degree in Physics from the University of Madras in 1994 with first class. I have also qualified in the National Eligibility Test conducted by the University Grants Commission in December 1995.

I am interested in teaching and research in Physics. I have a keen interest in the subject and have been teaching it to undergraduate students for the past two years. I am confident that I can contribute to the growth of your institution.

I request you to consider my application favourably and give me an opportunity to serve your institution.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

3500 995

...ers ...ent to 2nd Co...illor on ... vide E/C No. 586/46 (Letter No. 113 ...96) from D.C. Mysore

...he order ...

...3/8. ...

...ed 27.11.96 ...ent 3500 of 27.11.96.

1896

No. 660. R.

Name of Petitioner, Bhattadamalgi Annaiah

Village, Seringapatam

Taluk, Seringapatam

District, Mysore.

Date of Arzi, 17th August 1896

Date of Receipt, 18th August 1896

Date of Disposal, D.A. 158-96-16

No. of Enclosure, Nil.

ABSTRACT.

States that the land attached to Abdullakhan, was hypothecated to him for Rs. 1500; that as the hypothecation was for a large sum ... & the Inam dell... it was decided by this ... that ... the lands had been taken from the Petitioner's possession ... the value of the crop ... paid to him - and the balance within six months - if not the lands should be given back to him: That the Petitioner

was getting a Sutta
of 45 Candies of Paddy
but this the Deputy
decided to be very
small quantity with
the records speaking
in favour of the 45 Candies
as above, that the Petitioner
therefore, preferred an
appeal against the said
order of the Deputy Comm.r
of this office which without
giving any notice to the
other Petitioner, passed
an order upholding the
order of the Deputy Comm.r
that the appeal of the Petr
of this office was ask for
the recovery of crops as
stated in this office Endorse-
ment No 3500 of 28.5.96
but it was on account of
the small quantity of the
crop ordered to be given
to the Petitioner, by the
Deputy Commissioner
that the Petitioner prays
that the quantity of crop
due to him may be
decided - and that the
same may be ordered to be
given to him - whereas
the 45 Sheaves ordered
to be taken back from Lutchmiah
and given to the Petitioner

R.F. 129-92

Submitted with reference to the 2nd Councillor's order on the abstract of petn 3500 dated 16-3-96.

Refer. (17)

24/7 NS

24/7 The memorial to H.H. the Maharani Regent has been transferred to the Land Rev. Dept portfolio

Endorse to petr that he is welcome to take the value of the produce acquired if the Dep. Comr as already advised it is his own fault if he does not receive it.

W.D.C. 6.8.96

I am sorry this got mixed up in sending some old files to the ... 31-10-96

Gen. 37

REVENUE DEPARTMENT 1896 GI. No. 586 44 23 JUL 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE

(20)

From The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Secry to the Govt of Mysore Rev: and Genl: Depts.

Dated 20th July 1896.

Despatched

Received

General No. LA. 158-96 16.

No. 113

Enclosure No. one

Stating that Battada Malige Amaya refuses to take the mesne profits of the land in question till the disposal of his appeal to H.H. the Maharani Regent -

Petn 23.7.96

Submitted with reference

24/7

No. 113
Enclosures No. Nil

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 20th July 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No Rev 129-92 dated the 25th May 1896 enquiring if the value of the mesne profits of the land therein referred to was paid to Gautada Madiga Annaya, as directed in Government order No 476 — P. 129-92 dated 1st July 1895, I have the honor to state that he refuses to take it till the disposal of his appeal to Her Highness the Maharani Regent.

2. The enclosures of the memorandum under reply are herewith returned.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

MM

Compd:
[illegible]

660

Mysore-delivered
18.
18/8/96

41

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 1111
DATE 18-8-96

(7) ಸಿಲ್ಕ್ ಅಂಡರ್ ವೇರ್ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಹುಡುಗಿಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಂಗಸರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಂದ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಈ ದೂರುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ನಡೆಸಿದಾಗ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(6) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ನಡೆಸಿದಾಗ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(5) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ನಡೆಸಿದಾಗ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರಿಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೂ ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಕೊಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಕರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

13

ದಿನಾಂಕ 17.8.76

11-11-96

(22)

ਸਕੱਤਰ

ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ

ਕਿ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਆਂਦਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ

ਕਿ ਕਈ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ

ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਗੁਪਤ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ

ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਦੇ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹਨਾਂ

ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਨ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ

ਖਰਾਬ ਹੁੰਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੀ ਕਾਰਗੁਜ਼ਾਰੀ

ਵਿੱਚ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਕਰ ਸਕਣ। ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ

ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਲਿਆਂਦਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ

16-3-96 ਨੂੰ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ

ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਰ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ

ਅਧੀਨ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੀਆਂ

ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਗੁਪਤ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ

ਲਿਖਣ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਿੰਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਸੌਂਪੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ

ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਹੁਕਮ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ

ਕਿ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਖਰਾਬ

ਹੁੰਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ

ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੀ ਕਾਰਗੁਜ਼ਾਰੀ

ਵਿੱਚ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਕਰ ਸਕਣ।

ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੁਖੀਆਂ

ਨੂੰ ਹਦਾਇਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ

ਕਿ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ

ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਗੁਪਤ

ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਲਿਖਣ ਅਤੇ

ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਖਰਾਬ

ਹੋਣ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕਰਨ

ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੀ ਕਾਰਗੁਜ਼ਾਰੀ

ਵਿੱਚ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਕਰ ਸਕਣ।

ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ -11-96.

By order

Sd/-

for Secretary

C2

ਫਾਈਲ

No. 4222 dt. 7.11.96

(PF 129-92)

Copy forwarded to Director for info

Mukherjee

2/4. Mukherjee ref: Item No 113 dt 20.7.96

B.O.

for Secy

Mukherjee

ਪੰਨਾ 43

ਫਾਈਲ ਨੰ: 1584-17

Shah son of Pachchamiah should redeem the mortgaged property, as the samanads treated the Inam as personal or the same should be resumed and fully assessed (Vide Government decision dated 15th December 1892) The said Abdulla Shah produced mortgage money before the Deputy Commissioner on the 15th June 1893, but the same was held in deposit in the District Treasury pending disposal of a petition addressed to this office by Annaiya claiming the produce of the Inam land for a period of 3½ years prior to the date of the deposit of the mortgage amount.

2. The Deputy Commissioner decided by an order dated 26th April 1894 that Annaiya was entitled to 3 years' produce but the latter not being satisfied with this decision, preferred an appeal to Government without applying for payment of the amount (Rs. 1500) in deposit and his appeal seems to have been rejected by Government. Meanwhile the deposit having become 3 years old, the same lapsed and the amount, viz. Rs. 1500/- was credited to Government under

the head "unclaimed deposit of 19 Miscellaneous" on the 30th June 1896. Annaiya has since applied for payment of the amount and hence the submission of this refund bill.

3. The entire correspondence bearing on the subject is herewith submitted for reference and return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compared

45 14.

(23)

From



The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

LA. 158-96-18

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 3rd
Despatched 23 } November 1898.
Received

General No. 478
No.

Enclosure No. 5 in original
papers with a refund
bill —

Forwarding for sanction a refund bill for Rs. 1-8 in favour of Ramaiya of Srirangapatna.

Nov 26/11/98

Rec. 14/12/98

Sub with draft 16/12/98

No. 478
Enclosure No. 1 file of
Cermandar papers
with a reference.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated 3rd November 1893.
23.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

In submitting herewith for sanction of Government a Cowle for the grant on permanent alienation of the Inam village Anaiyan Kote kat Kasbah, Nakandar of Kunigal, Seringapatam Taluq, formerly the above Inam village was mortgaged for Rupees 3 Kandaes, 18 Rupees and 3½ Pades to the late in 1870. This Inam was treated as Devasthana at the Inam Settlement in 1873 on the ground that it belonged to the Mahan Rajahs ordered by the Inam Superintendent to pass in possession of Pachhamiah, The Inam Division Officer, French Rocks, having enquired the Inam Superintendent ordered in 1893, Ramanna preferred an appeal to Government against the decision that Anaiyan Kote

Shah

Proceedings of the Court of Ni

U4 (24)

24. 15-8-94-19

Read:

Letter no. 478 dated 3rd November 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner, Nuwara Eliya submitting for sanction a refund bill on behalf of Malige Ammuna of Ranigatta for Rs 1500/-

---

N.C. U 4398 of 22.12.88
FC 444
W V.P

Order Nisi:

In 1875, Pachamaiya Mahandaram of Kiriwaga, Ranga-patana, Uva mortgaged certain iram land to Malige Ammuna for Rs.1500. The iram which was at the Iram Settlement of 1879 settled as Devadaya was in Govt. decision dated 15th December 1892 treated as personal iram and the mortgagor allowed to redeem the iram land within 6 months. Absolute Sale-bond of Pachamaiya produced. The amount, which was held in deposit pending disposal of numerous claims to the produce of the land. Meanwhile the amount having remained in deposit for 3 years it was credited to Govt. on 30th June 1896 under Circular

order. The claim having been duly disposed of and nothing having applied for the refund, the Deputy Commissioner submits a refund bill for Rs 1000, which is countersigned and forwarded to Comptroller for credit.

21-12-98

17/12/98 Secretary

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Inquire & return
Ver: records!
Comptroller with the bill.